WO

DATE: <u>May 18, 2011</u>

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

		TRIOT OF ARIZONA
UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
J	ose Manuel Gamez-Barron	Case Number: <u>11-08622M-001</u>
anu was rebre	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § sented by counsel. I conclude by a preant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on May 18, 2011. Defendant was presen ponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention
	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
×		e United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$		harged offense, was in the United States illegally.
⊠	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal	history.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.	
	There is a record of prior failure to	appear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.  The clother and and 15 (M 51) benuties 1 17 (252),	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Courat the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.		
		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe	endant will flee.
2.	No condition or combination of con-	ditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		TIONS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicab efendant shall be afforded a reasonab States or on request of an attorney for t	of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in le, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending le opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a count the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the ose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		S AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS 0 deliver a copy o Court.	DRDERED that should an appeal of th of the motion for review/reconsideration	nis detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to on to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
Services suffic	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ciently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial re the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge